

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		<u>_</u>	www.uspto.gov	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		
10/608,883	06/26/2003	Steven C. Avanzino	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
			F0361.C1.D1	7845
	90 11/10/2004	•		
THE LAW OFFICES OF MIKIO ISHIMARU 1110 SUNNYVALE-SARATOGA ROAD SUITE A1 SUNNYVALE, CA 94087			EXAMINER	
			SMOOT, STEPHEN W	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2813	
			DATE MAILED: 11/10/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		ń
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/608,883 AVANZINO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Stephen W. Smoot	2813
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replication of the provision of the period for reply secured above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of this will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI to cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27		
24/23 11110 4011011 10 11111	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allows		
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 11-18 is/are pending in the application	on.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-18</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 June 2003</u> is/are:		ected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	,	
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	an priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	, ,	
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		Application No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr		
application from the International Bure		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li		ot received.
Attachment(s)	□	0(070.442)
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>	Paper No	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0</li> </ul>	_, <del>_</del>	f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.

6) Other: \_\_\_\_.

Application/Control Number: 10/608,883

Art Unit: 2813

### **DETAILED ACTION**

This Office action is in response to applicant's amendment filed on 27 August 2004.

### Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informality:
 Correct the Cross-Reference section (page 2 of the amendment filed on 27

 August 2004) to indicate that US Appl. Ser. No. "09/164,421" is actually US Appl. Ser. No. --09/874.175--.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States

Application/Control Number: 10/608,883

Art Unit: 2813

only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Passemard (US 2001/0004550 A1).

Referring to Fig. 10 and paragraphs [0024] to [0031], Passemard discloses an interconnection structure for integated circuits with the following features:

- The interconnection structure is formed over a semiconductor substrate (not shown), it being implied that the substrate has devices that are interconnected;
- The interconnection structure includes a sublayer of SiCH (11 in Fig. 3) and a sublayer of SiOCH (12 in Fig. 3); and
- Both sublayers (11, 12) have openings formed through them that are subsequently filled with copper (9).

These are all of the limitations set forth in claims 11-14 of the applicant's invention.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2813

5. Claims 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Passemard (US 2001/0004550 A1) in view of Ito (US 6,372,114).

Referring to Fig. 10 and paragraphs [0024] to [0031], Passemard discloses an interconnection structure for integated circuits with the following features:

- The interconnection structure is formed over a semiconductor substrate (not shown), it being implied that the substrate has devices that are interconnected;
- The interconnection structure includes a sublayer of SiCH (11 in Fig. 3) and a sublayer of SiOCH (12 in Fig. 3);
- Both sublayers (11, 12) have openings formed through them that are subsequently filled with copper (9); and
- The copper (9) is planarized to the level of surrounding insulating material to define a copper line (i.e. a channel) that is interconnected to an underlying copper line (1) by a copper-filled via.

These are limitations set forth in claims 15-18 of the applicant's invention.

However, Passemard lacks a seed layer that lines the opening, which is a limitation set forth in claim 15 of the applicant's invention.

Ito teaches the use of a copper seed layer (109 in Fig. 1C) to line openings formed in an insulator (105 in Fig. 1C) for the subsequent plating of a copper layer (110 in Fig. 1D) to fill the openings (also see column 5, lines 9-43).

Therefore it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Passemard and Ito in order to fill the openings of Passemard with copper by using a plating process that includes a

Art Unit: 2813

copper seed layer as taught by Ito. Copper plating is one known way to deposit copper and Ito recognizes that a seed layer is needed as an electrode for such plating processes (see column 5, lines 30-31).

### Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 27 August 2004 (see pages 5-8) have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding the above rejection of claims 11-14 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Passemard (US 2001/0004550 A1), the applicant argues that Passemard fails to show their SiCH and SiCOH layers arranged as the applicant does in Fig. 3. It is noted that this arrangement upon which applicant relies is not recited in claims 11-14. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). It is further noted that the applicant closes their specification with the statement: "All matters hither-to-fore set forth or shown in the accompanying drawings are to be interpreted in an illustrative and non-limiting sense" see page 8, lines 22-23) and, per MPEP section 2111.01, "the words in a claim are generally not limited in their meaning by what is shown or disclosed in the specification".

Instead, per MPEP section 2111, the applicant's claims have been given their broadest reasonable interpretation. That is, it is not unreasonable to interpret that "around" as used in claim 11 has the same meaning as "near". The Passemard

Application/Control Number: 10/608,883 Page 6

Art Unit: 2813

reference shows the SiCOH layer (12) formed directly over the SiCH layer (11) in Fig. 3 and the same opening formed through both the SiCOH layer (12) and the SiCH layer (11) in Fig. 9. Accordingly, portions of the SiCH material near the opening formed through the SiCH layer (11) would also be near the opening formed through the SiCOH layer (12). The same reasoning is also applicable to the above rejection of claims 15-18 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Passemard (US 2001/0004550 A1) in view of Ito (US 6,372,114).

Further, regarding the above rejection of claims 15-18 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Passemard (US 2001/0004550 A1) in view of Ito (US 6,372,114), the applicant argues against the references individually. That is, the applicant addresses Passamard taken as a whole and then addresses Ito taken as a whole, instead of addressing the combination of Passamard and Ito taken as a whole. One cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

#### Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/608,883 Page 7

Art Unit: 2813

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen W. Smoot whose telephone number is 571-272-1698. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00 am to 4:30 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Whitehead, Jr. can be reached on 571-272-1702. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/608,883

Art Unit: 2813

Page 8

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**SWS** 

Stephen W. Smoot Patent Examiner Art Unit 2813